

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.Q. Box 1459 Absunding, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspha.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,907	01/18/2002		Willie Stroup	02514.0007.NPUS01	5808
22930	7590	01/02/2004		EXA	AMINER
HOWREY	SIMON	ARNOLD & W	MENON,	MENON, KRISHNAN S	
BOX 34				- PORTONIA	T Thinns Williams
1299 PENNS	YLVANI	A AVENUE NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004				1723	

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/050,907	STROUP, WILLIE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Krishnan S Menon	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m eanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. AMDONED (35 U.S.C, 8, 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	5 November 2003.					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papilication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dominance a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dominance for a claim for a claim for dominance for a claim for a claim for dominance for a claim for a claim for dominance for a claim for dominance for a claim for dominance for	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. efirst sentence of the specifica provisional application has be estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. sen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTC-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449) Paper Notice	5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev 11-03)

Office Action Summary

D + fD N 4000

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-15 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1-5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riise (US 4,632,764) in view of Bussey Jr et al (US 6,385,791 B1).

Rlise teaches an apparatus for reducing liquid in a liquid-solid mixture comprising a holding chamber (col 2 lines 7-63; figures) having four walls and a floor, made of concrete (col 3 line 40) and having vehicular access (abstract; col 3 lines 54-60); conduit for directing liquid away (col 4 lines 1-12, 20-30), a filter separating the chamber and conduit (col 4 lines 1-12), a membrane forming an airtight cover (55-fig 5, col 7 lines 14-31), and means for reducing pressure in the conduit (col 4 lines 1-12).

Riise does not teach a "ramp" for vehicular access. However, the vehicular access provided would be equivalent because the prior art element performs the identical function specified in the claim in substantially the same way, and produces substantially the same results as the corresponding element disclosed in the specification. Kemco Sales, Inc. v. Control Papers Co., 208 F.3d 1352, 54 USPQ2d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2000)

Application/Control Number: 10/050,907

Art Unit: 1723

Riise also does not teach an airtight membrane which is in contact with the liquid and solids mixture. Bussey teaches a substantially airtight membrane covering a body of liquid, and which is in contact with the liquid surface (see figures). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teaching of Bussey in the teaching of Riise to have a cover that is in contact with the liquid-solid mixture surface to prevent evaporation of the liquid, and to provide solar energy heating as taught by Bussey (col 2 lines 65-68, col 1 lines 1-23) and Riise (col col 7 lines 14-31)

Claims 2-5 add the further limitation of heating means, see col 2 line 38-45, fig
4a; col 5 lines 44-50; col 6 lines 1-33). Claim 5 – heating means disposed in membrane
– col 7 lines 14-30

 Claims 6-8, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Riise (US 4,632,764) in view of Bussey Jr et al (US 6,385,791 B1) as in claim 2 above and further in view of Winter et al (US 5,277,814)

Instant claims add further limitations which Riise in view of Bussey does not teach, but Winter teaches as follows: The apparatus further comprises air injectors disposed within the chamber as in instant claims 6 and 7 (col 5 lines 60-68; col 2 lines 27-40); temperature monitoring and control means as in instant claims 12 and 13 and the temperature is maintained between 100 and 200 °F (col 2 lines 62-68; col 7 lines 17-40) as in instant claim 15. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teachings of Winter in the teaching of Riise in view of Bussey to provide means for monitoring the temperature and providing aeration when

the solid liquid mixture to be treated is water containing organic wastes as taught by Winter (see abstract), and for providing air for loosening up the accumulation as taught by Riise (col 7 lines 42-45)

Re claims 8, Riise also teaches air injection (col 7 lines 42-45; col 9 line 64) through the membrane. Regarding claim 14, see In re Lindberg, 194 F.2d 732, 93 USPQ 23 (CCPA 1952) (Fact that a claimed device is portable or movable is not sufficient by itself to patentably distinguish over an otherwise old device unless there are new or unexpected results.).

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Riise (US 4,632,764) in view of Bussey Jr et al (US 6,385,791 B1) and Winter (814) as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Eichler (US 5,118,427).

Riise and Winter teaches agitating (Riise – col 6 lines 20-33; col 9 line 60-64; Winter: col 2 line 29), but Riise in view of Bussey and Winter doe not teach vibrating means for agitating the mixture as in claim 9. Eichler (427) teaches vibrating means for agitating (col 3 lines 17-45). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to vibrate the membrane as taught be Eichler in the teachings of the separating apparatus of Riise in view of Bussey and Winter in view of Winter to keep the liquid mixture viscosity low for faster removal of liquids.

Re claims 10 and 11, Riise teaches moisture collection tank (col 4 lines 1-11), and Winter teaches moisture collecting tank disposed to receive liquids as in instant claims 10 and 11 (14,15 and 23 fig 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,907

Art Unit: 1723

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

This is a non-final first action after an RCE.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Krishnan S Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-

1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Krishnan Menon Patent Examiner W. C. WALKER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 Page 5